

REMARKS

Claims 1-6 are pending in the application, with Claim 1 being the sole independent claim, and Claim 7 being cancelled.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tseng et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,930,544) in view of Inaba (U.S. Pat. No. 5,701,532).

Claims 1-3 and 5-6 are amended. No new subject matter is presented.

Regarding the rejection of Claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), the Examiner states that Tseng et al. in view of Inaba renders the claim obvious. Amended Claim 1 teaches, in part, a biaxially rotatable camera lens module comprising a cylindrical lens housing extending in a longitudinal direction and having a lens mounted in the longitudinal direction; an X axial rotatable dial rotating the lens left and right about an A3 pivot axis; and a Y axial rotatable dial rotating the lens up and down about an A2 pivot axis, *both the X and Y axial rotatable dials being mounted in the longitudinal direction of the cylindrical lens housing.*

Tseng et al. discloses a biaxially rotatable camera lens module 10 comprising a cylindrical lens housing 10a extending in a longitudinal direction and having a lens mounted in the longitudinal direction (FIGs 1 and 4); an X axial rotatable dial 25 rotating the lens left and right about an A3 pivot axis (FIG. 4); and a lug 34, 34a, 34 b for rotating the lens up and down (FIGs. 2-4 and 5). The dial 25 of Tseng et al. is mounted in a direction perpendicular to the longitudinal direction of the lens housing 10a (FIG. 4). By contrast, the X dial of Amended Claim 1 is mounted *in the longitudinal direction of the cylindrical lens housing.* Further, the lug 34, 34a, 34b of Tseng et al. is not a dial and does not rotate about a pivot axis. Tseng et al. fails to disclose the limitation of *both the X and Y axial rotatable dials being mounted in the longitudinal direction of the cylindrical lens housing* taught by Amended Claim 1.

Inaba discloses a biaxially rotatable camera lens module 21 comprising a double cylindrical lens housing 23 extending in a longitudinal direction and having two lenses mounted

in the longitudinal direction (FIGs 1-2 and 7); and an axial rotatable dial 35, 56 rotating the lenses left and right (FIGs 1-2 and 7). The axial rotatable dial 35, 56 of Inaba is mounted in a direction perpendicular to the longitudinal direction of the lens housing 23 (FIGs 1-2 and 7). By contrast, the X dial of Amended Claim 1 is mounted *in the longitudinal direction of the cylindrical lens housing*. Further, Inaba lacks a second axial rotatable dial for rotating the lenses. Inaba also fails to disclose the limitation of *both the X and Y axial rotatable dials being mounted in the longitudinal direction of the cylindrical lens housing* taught by Amended Claim 1, and thus fails to cure the defects of Tseng et al.

Clearly, Amended Claim 1 structurally differs from Tseng et al., Inaba, or the combination thereof.

Accordingly, all of the claims pending in the application, namely Claims 1-6, are believed to be in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference or personal interview would facilitate resolution of any remaining matters, the Examiner may contact Applicants' attorney at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,



Paul J. Farrell
Reg. No. 33,494
Attorney for Applicant(s)

THE FARRELL LAW FIRM
333 Earle Ovington Blvd.
Suite 701
Uniondale, New York 11553
(516) 228-3565 (T)
(516) 228-8475 (F)

PJF/DGL/mk